metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Wesley A. Henderson,^a Neil R. Brooks^b and William H. Smyrl^a*

^aCorrosion Research Center, Department of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, University of Minnesota, 221 Church St. SE, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA, and ^bX-Ray Crystallographic Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, University of Minnesota, 207 Pleasant St. SE, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA

Correspondence e-mail: smyrl001@umn.edu

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 173 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.002 Å R factor = 0.025 wR factor = 0.060 Data-to-parameter ratio = 13.1

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

Polymeric [Li(NO₃)(monoglyme)]_n

The title compound, *catena*-poly[[(1,2-dimethoxyethane)lithium(I)]- μ -nitrato-O:O'], [Li(NO₃)(C₄H₁₀O₂)]_n, is a onedimensional polymer. In the asymmetric unit, there are two Li⁺ cations and two monoglyme molecules located on crystallographic twofold axes and one NO₃⁻ anion on a general position. Both cations are coordinated by two ether O atoms from a single monoglyme. In addition, one cation is coordinated by two bidentate anions, making a six-coordinate Li⁺, and the other by two monodentate anions, making a fourcoordinate Li⁺. Each NO₃⁻ anion is coordinated to two Li⁺ cations, to one in a monodentate fashion and to the other in a bidentate fashion. The one-dimensional Li(monoglyme)NO₃ chains are propagated by the 3₁ screw axis along the *c* axis, with alternating four- and six-coordinate Li⁺ cations.

Comment

Structural characterization of the title compound, (I), was performed as part of a comprehensive study of lithium salt phase behavior with glyme ligands (Henderson, 2002). LiNO₃ tends to be highly associated into contact ion pair or aggregate solvate structures with ether solvents. During the course of preparing a phase diagram of the monoglyme–LiNO₃ system, it was found that addition of excess monoglyme to the salt resulted in the rapid formation of long needle-like single crystals of (I). These crystals were structurally characterized to determine the stoichiometry of the crystalline phase which had formed.



Experimental

Preparations were carried out in a dry room (<1% relative humidity). LiNO₃ (Aldrich) was dried at 393 K under high vacuum for 24 h. Anhydrous monoglyme (1,2-dimethoxyethane; 99.5%, Aldrich) was used as received. The title compound was formed by the addition of excess monoglyme to the salt. Single crystals grew rapidly at room temperature. A phase diagram of the monoglyme–LiNO₃ system could not be prepared, as heating the mixtures does not result in homogeneous liquids. Rather, the title compound melts and the salt, LiNO₃, precipitates out of the mixture.

© 2002 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Received 14 August 2002 Accepted 19 August 2002 Online 31 August 2002



Figure 1

A view of the title compound showing the Li coordination environment and the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. [Symmetry codes: (i) -x, -x + y, 1/3 - z; (ii) y, x, -z.]

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

reflections

 $\theta = 3.1 - 23.8^{\circ}$

 $\mu = 0.12 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

 $R_{\rm int}=0.025$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 25.0^{\circ}$

 $h = -8 \rightarrow 8$

 $k = -8 \rightarrow 8$

 $l = -28 \rightarrow 25$

T = 173 (2) K

Block colourless

 $0.44\,\times\,0.16\,\times\,0.16~\mathrm{mm}$

1367 independent reflections

1255 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Cell parameters from 2682

Crystal data

 $\begin{bmatrix} \text{Li}(\text{NO}_3)(\text{C}_4\text{H}_{10}\text{O}_2) \end{bmatrix} \\ M_r = 159.07 \\ \text{Trigonal, } P_{3_1}21 \\ a = 7.4925 (5) \text{ Å} \\ c = 23.989 (3) \text{ Å} \\ V = 1166.3 (2) \text{ Å}^3 \\ Z = 6 \\ D_x = 1.359 \text{ Mg m}^{-3} \\ \end{bmatrix}$

Data collection

Siemens CCD area-detector diffractometer φ and ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Blessing, 1995; Sheldrick, 2000) $T_{min} = 0.716, T_{max} = 0.846$ 5711 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0312P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.025$	+ 0.0933P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.060$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.06	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
1367 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.10 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
104 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.11 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
H-atom parameters constrained	Extinction correction: SHELXL97
-	Extinction coefficient: 0.0059 (15)

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å).

Li1-O1	2.021 (2)	Li2-O5	1.955 (2)
Li1-O4	2.123 (2)	Li2-O2	1.985 (3)
Li1-O3	2.2138 (14)		

The Friedel pairs were merged because the Flack (1983) parameter [-0.2 (11)] was meaningless due to the lack of atoms with large differences in anomalous scattering terms.



Figure 2

A view of the polymeric structure of the title compound. Key: C shaded, H open, Li dotted, O cross-hatched, and N hatched.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2000); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2000); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SIR92* (Altomare *et al.*, 1993); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL/PC* (Sheldrick, 1998); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL/PC* and *PLATON* (Spek, 2001).

This research was financially supported by US Department of Defense AASFRT (grant DAAH04-96-1-10239). WAH is indebted to the National Science Foundation for the award of an NSF Graduate Research Fellowship.

References

Altomare, A., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C. & Guagliardi, A. (1993). J. Appl. Cryst. 26, 343–350.

Blessing, R. (1995). Acta Cryst. A51, 33-38.

Bruker (2000). SMART and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.

Henderson, W. A. (2002). PhD thesis, University of Minnesota, MN, USA.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1998). SHELXTL/PC. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Sheldrick, G. M. (2000). SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Spek, A. L. (2001). PLATON. University of Utrecht, The Netherlands.